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## Preamble

The genus Dioon is particularly difficult to work with taxonomically because the differences between species are subtle. The range of form within the world's other major cycad genera-Cycas, Ceratozamia, Encephalartos, Macrozamia, and Zamia-is much more considerable, thus making identification by key a slightly easier task. The following key is designed to enable workers to identify all known species within the genus Dioon, as well as several distinct "types" that are currently under investigation.
When working with keys, it is important to remember that they are contrivances to facilitate identification, not phylogenetic or taxonomic schemes. As such, the overall arrangement of species and the individual pairings provided do not necessarily imply relationship. Perhaps not coincidentally, the species within the "Spinulosum" Clade differ significantly from the species in the "Edule" Clade and, by definition, clades do represent phylogenetic groupings. Similarly, the traits that separate the three species within the "Spinulosum" Clade produce a hierarchical structure for the respective species that coincides with published phylogenetic relationships.
By convention of the participants, an attempt has been made to adhere to the glossary provided in the proceedings of the Cycad Classification Concepts workshop (Walters \& Osborne, 2004)-held in April 2002 at the Montgomery Botanical Center in Miami, FL, USA-as the standard of definition for all cycad morphological terminology. In addition, Grobbelaar's (2003) excellent book identifies important angles of leaflet insertion and we have chosen to follow his novel convention herein. Workers may need to reference both works to fully appreciate the proposed key
It is our intention to provide a key that can be used in the absence of reproductive structures. Not only are the strobili of Dioon species much less distinct compared to the other large cycad genera, but they are also often not present in habitat. Therefore, we have developed a vegetative key that can be applied almost entirely using adult leaf material. Identification of Dioon seedlings is also quite difficult; thus, eophylls are mentioned only when diagnostic. On occasion, reference is made to newly emergent leaves, but each applicable couplet also contains character separations based on hardened leaves.
It is important to remember that considerable variation exists within many species in this genus. While we have tried to account for the major contingencies, exceptions are regularly encountered. For example, marginal prickles may or may not be present in many instances, and some leaves may be flat or keeled. In addition, differences in leaf morphology can sometimes be found even within a single cohort of leaves on an individual plant, which, in turn, may differ slightly from leaves in previous cohorts. Thus, there is no substitute for a large sample size when contending with vegetative traits.
It is our hope that, in spite of these daunting subtleties and seeming ambiguities, this key will aid in the identification of species within this most "difficult" cycad genus.
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| 10 A. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108. | Wre leaflets gabruus on adaxal and abaxal sutaes |  |
|  | Leaflets with $2-6$ total prickles, $1-3$ on basiscopic (phylloproximal) edge; leaflet width usually $\geq 9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $80 \%$ of indviduals) | p. holmgenii |
|  | Leaflets with $1-3$ total prickles, 0 on basiscopic (phylloproximal) edge; leaflet width usually $\leq 9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $95 \%$ of indviduals) | 0.sp. (mxiequenss) |



Distribution of Dioon
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